IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) 8:12CR17)
	VS.)) DETENTION ORDER
DU	JANE E. WALKER,	
	Defendant.	}
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursual Act on January 25, 2012, the Court or pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform ders the above-named defendant detained
B.	The Court orders the defendant's deten X By a preponderance of the exconditions will reasonably assure X By clear and convincing evidence	tion tion because it finds: vidence that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as required. that no condition or combination of conditions of any other person or the community.
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: a conspirate 21 U.S.C. § 846 (C) distribute "crack" cocal carry a minimum sert imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a constant of the constant of t	f the offense charged: cy to distribute "crack" cocaine in violation of ount I) and the possession with intent to line in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) each of the tendence of ten years and a maximum of life e of violence.
	may affect where The defendant	nt appears to have a mental condition which nether the defendant will appear. In that no family ties in the area. In that no steady employment. In that no substantial financial resources. In that no substantial financial resources in the defendant of the community. In the defendant: In that a history relating to drug abuse. In that a significant prior criminal record. In that a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: X
releas	nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's se are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment and the dant's criminal history.
X (5) Rebu	ttable Presumptions
on th 3142(<u>X</u> (a)	ermining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied e following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § (e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
	10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable,

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: January 25, 2012. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken

United States Magistrate Judge